Case 2:09-cv-00025-WTL-DML Document 69, Filed 08/09/10 Page 1 of 4 PageID #: 455

c/o WILLIAM OSCAR HARRIS #40743050 FCI-THA PO BOX 33 TERRE HAUTE, IN 47808

Laura A. Briggs, Clerk of Court United States District Court Southern District of Indiana 921 Ohio Street Terre Haute, IN 47807

Via: U.S.P.S. Certified Mail No. 7009 1680 0001 8897 2844

Re: Third Party Intervenors' Continuing
Petition To Intervene Pursuant To
Federal Rules Of Civil Procedure,
Rule 19 (a)(1)(B)(i)(ii) and Rule
24 (a)(2) By Affidavit
Cause of Action No. 2:09-cv-00025-WTL-DML

3 August, C.E. 2010

TERREHAUTE DIVISION

LAURA A BRIGGS

Greetings Clerk of Court:

Enclosed herewith please find the above referenced Continuing Petition To Intervene in the cause of action indicated for filing. Upon your receipt, please file stamp the enclosed extra first page copy and return it in the self-addressed stamped envelope provided herewith for your convenience.

Thank you for your right hand in kindness and prompt attention to this matter. In peace and light, I am

Sincerely,

For 3rd Party Intervenors

by:

Oscaro El Hari, Bey

3rd Party Intervenor

Enclosures cc: Plaintiff Defendants file

Case 2:09-cv-00025-WTL-TPAMITED OST MICHOS 6015 FIRE 06 / CONTINUANA TERRE HAUTE DIVISION

SABRI BENKAHLA

PLAINTIFF

- vs -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, ET AL
DEFENDANTS

WILLIAM OSCAR HARRIS, ET AL

3RD PARTY INTERVENORS.

STATE OF INDIANA

: Affirmed and signed.

COUNTY OF VIGO

Oscaro El Hari, Bey, Rex Russell Dean:Landers and Ralph William Taylor, in propria persona sui juris by special visitation as attorneys in fact for WILLIAM OSCAR HARRIS, REX RUSSELL DEAN LANDERS and RALPH WILLIAM TAYLOR, respectively, hereinafter Affiants, as 3rd Party Intervenors, having similarly situated common special interests, are of sound mind, good moral character, majority age and competent to testify to wit:

- 1. That, Intervenors, intervening as a matter of right, Continuing Petition To Intervene Pursuant To Federal Rules Of Civil Procedure (Fed.R.Civ.P.) Rule 19 (a)(1)(B)(i)(ii) and Rule 24 (a)(2), for cause as indicated herein below.
- 2. That, Plaintiff Benkahla's petition for dismissal of his claim has no bearing on Intervenors' claim nor continuance, claiming for remedy and relief as a matter of right, in light of the Court's Order for dismissal limitted to Benkahla's cause and without prejudice to Intervenors' continuance.
- 3. That, Intervenors, Required Joinder Parties, claim with standing is so situated that disposing of the action in the Intervenors' absence may impair or impede Intervenors' ability to protect interest; or leave Intervenors subject to a substantial risk of incurring double, multiple, or otherwise inconsistent obligations because of the [Intervenors'] interest.
- 4. That, all that is required in Intervenors' claim and the main action are that Intervenors have a question of law or fact in common.
- 5. That, the common factor in this instant matter is the operation of unlawful prison units known as Communication Management Units (CMUs) by Defendants.
- 6. That, Defendants contend Plaintiff's claims are different than that of Intervenors, while in fact Intervenors contend, in common with Plaintiff, the same for themselves, but also put forward other distinctly unique constitutional claims that the Plaintiff has not addressed.
- 7. That, these unique claims are brought to light in paragraphs 2,3,10,12,14, and 15 of the original Intervention Petition By Affidavit.
- 8. That, all Intervenors hold their discharge of all respective public obligations and

are not being released, but instead sequestered and secreted away in a CMU to prevent effective contact with officials and others to expedite and enforce past due liberty.

- 9. That, CMU overseers refuse to proffer the mandatory warrant/writ of commitment under hand and seal, both front and back, with executed return, nor the required foundational writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum bringing Intervenors into CMU, nor judgment in a criminal case that has not been satisfied and discharged, in order to hold Intervenors.
- 10. That, these interests put forward by Intervenors are direct and immediate in character, wanting adjudication, and should not be delayed.
- 11. That, Defendants have failed to recognize Intervenors' unique and different claims spelled out in the original Petition, but instead obfuscate the very reality that Plaintiff and Intervenors certainly are not in identicle situations.
- 12. That, Defendants claim for 'hold[ing] a decision on Petition To Intervene in abeyance" is prejudiced to the common interests of Intervenors, as well as to the unique interests effected by those common interests, as Defendants are not in compliance with present rules, nor have Defendants come into compliance as a result of the Federal Register publication of 6 April, 2010.
- 13. That, Intervenors, contrary to Defendants' assertion, have exhausted remedies in support of claims set forth in this intervention through the BOP administrative remedies procedure process.
- 14. That, Intervenors meet all requirements for timely intervention in this present cause of action, and as a matter of right, in further interest of judicial economy, must be granted continuance of Intervenors' claims and such further as expedites Intervenors' claims in this action.
- 15. That, intervention of right is always construed liberally in favor of intervention.

In conclusion, Intervenors' Continuing Petition To Intervene, for above stated reasons, coupled with the Court allowing intervention to proceed should be granted, to effect the entry of judgment providing for Intervenors' remedy for injunctive relief as a matter of right.

VERIFICATION AND CERTIFICATION

As no notary public is available, the herein Affiants affirm and attest, upon their unlimited liability and understanding the pains and penalties of perjury re Defendants' 28 USC § 1746 (1), the statements made herein are of Affiants' own first hand knowledge, with verifying and certifying the contents to be correct, certain, complete and not misleading, as the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in accordance with Affiants' sincerely held spiritual convictions and creed. Additionally, Affiants reserve the right to amend this Affidavit to enable the truth to be ascetained.

Further, Affiants sayeth naught.

PROOF OF SERVICE

The Undersigneds certify that a true copy of the 3rd Party Intervenors' Continuing Petition To Intervene Pursuant To Federal Rules Of Civil Procedure, Rule 19 (a)(1)(B)(i)(ii) and Rule 24 (a)(2) By Affidavit was caused to be sent by first-class U.S.P.S. regular mail by placing such in the mail depository at FCI-THA, CMU, Terre Haute, Vigo County,

Indiana on the day and year last below written to the following persons: For Plaintiff: David C. Fathi, Esq. For Defendants: Timothy M. Morrison ACLU U.S. Attorney 7th Floor Suite 2100 915 15th Street, N.W. 10 West Market Street Washington, D.C. 20005 Indianapolis, IN 46204 Done, prepared, signed, and sent this 3 day of August, Common Era 2010. For: /s/ WILLIAM OSCAR HARRIS Oscaro El Hari, Bey 40743050 FCI-THA PO BOX 33 TERRE HAUTE, IN 47808 /s/ For: REX RUSSELL DEAN LANDERS Rex Russell Dean:Landers 05177046 Affiant FCI-THA PO BOX 33 TERRE HAUTE, IN 47808

For: /s/

31628048 FCI-THA PO BOX 33

RALPH WILLIAM TAYLOR

TERRE HAUTE, IN 47808

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Affiants, in the presence of the herein below testes, hereon their signatures signed as acknowledgment of Affiants' signature, upon good evidence of identity and after Affiants having affirmed, attested, verified, and certified this Affidavit. Therefore, witness the testes' hands as signed on the day and year last above written.

trd Byus teste Mr. Avan Tuitly

by: Kalph Welliam Saylor

Ralph William Taylor

Affiant